

Heroes of Democracy

*This article below is paraphrased from a document read by Alan Watt on his radio show, *Cutting Through the Matrix*, on April 1, 2011. The audio file is archived at <http://cuttingthroughthematrix.com>*

The war in Libya provides a good example of the word games that the media and governmental leaders play with us. A war isn't a war – it's a humanitarian enterprise to keep the peace. War is peace. Soldiers are peacekeepers.

The pan-Islamist radicals driving the Libyan rebellion are a gaggle of armed gangs and paramilitary organizations stemming from the Muslim Brotherhood, but they have been re-defined as “heroes of democracy.” These are the people to be put in power in post-intervention Libya. Their leader, Abdel al-Hasidi (former Taliban fighter and notorious Libyan terrorist) proudly announced that many of his rebel heroes are fighters from al-Qaeda, and it is now stated in the press that al-Qaeda is pouring into Libya to be our new allies. This is not a surprise at all – the hotbed of the rebellion, Cyrenaica, is one of the world capitals of Islamist terrorism. This is the “land of freedom” now being protected by the UN no-fly zone, in a brazen repeat of the UN/al-Qaeda/Hezbollah alliance in the Balkans in the 1990s.

A mass campaign of destabilization is being waged all across North Africa and the Middle East. The keywords here are divide and rule; create chaos to impose a new order of things. Use any means whatsoever to shred the sovereign nation-state, then balkanize the population to keep them squabbling amongst themselves, safe in the knowledge that natural resources are yours to take, and human resources will be cheap and desperate. Ship in “hard power” mercenaries to protect the production hubs, but also “soft power” specialists (i.e. the UN's NGOs) to manage the misery and facilitate the population's adaptation to a lifestyle of simplicity – otherwise known as famine and destitution.

This age-old formula is the one being applied for completely remaking the Islamist world, as expressed in the combined Brzezinski/Bernard Lewis/PNAC doctrine.

The first big step in this enterprise was to create what Zbigniew Brzezinski called an “imperial mobilization” into the region, to establish a permanent international military presence. This was

accomplished with the Iraq and Afghanistan (-Pakistan) wars. At the same time, as Brzezinski said in *The Grand Chessboard* (1997), imperial mobilization would come hand in hand with the installment of a police state structure in the West.

The next stage would be, as it is now, to shred the region, bit by bit, nation by nation. Various client regimes (such as Mubarak's in Egypt) also have to go, because they have an established national structure and, therefore, are not yet ready for open balkanization and plunder under international management. In these cases, the favored method of destabilization is the soft-power coup, the color revolution, using NGO networks, local radicals and army factions. The end result of all this will be extremely pliable, military-dominated governments, like the ones now in place in Egypt and Tunisia.

The Middle East itself will be, as stated in various white papers (for example, Brzezinski & Gates, *Iran: Time for a New Approach*, CFR, 2004), divided between two internationally-managed blocs – one Sunni and the other Shia, centered in Turkey and Iran respectively. A dialectical process of controlled conflict will eventually result in the creation of a general Middle Eastern Union, which is projected to be a trade corridor between the expanded blocks of the European Union (EU) and the Association of South East Asian Nations (ASEAN). The people being used as pawns in this Grand Game, to bring this process along, shouldn't be mistaken. The only thing they'll get out of this is a nonstop dynamic of conflict and destitution. Their former nations will have been turned into de-cultured wastelands, to be managed by global agencies and policed by international forces. Everything of value will have been taken over by international financiers – oil wells, pipelines, the Suez Canal, etc.

This scenario is just another chapter in the poorly understood process that is **globalization**, i.e. the hostile takeover of the world, using international agencies as the enforcers. Destabilization and gradualism are their methods of choice. In this process the nation-state is slowly dissolved under various pressures, to allow for the rise of technocratic regional blocks, who, in turn, respond to privatized global governance agencies.

Distributed by

The Connecticut Peace Coalition/New Haven

Vigil every Sunday 12-1 PM

at the intersection of Park, Elm and Broadway

newhavensundayvigil.wordpress.com

ctpcnh@gmail.com